

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,554	08/07/2001	James William Otter	60246-145/8674	6915
26096	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
	400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			PAPER NUMBER
	M, MI 48009		1773	
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/923,554	OTTER, JAMES WILLIAM			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Monique R Jackson	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ing date of the intal rejection, even in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 March 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/923,554

Art Unit: 1773

Continuation of Item No. 5. NOTE: The Applicant's arguments filed 3/15/04 have been considered but are not persuasive. As previously stated, in response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992.) The Examiner also notes that applicant's arguments appear to address the references individually, and one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, the primary reference, Bentley teaches a heat condensing heat exchanger and a method of making said heat exchanger wherein a polypropylene film is adhesively adhered to a metal surface but does not teach the instantly claimed adhesive. However, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize any suitable adhesive having metal adhesion

Application/Control Number: 09/923,554

Art Unit: 1773

and heat resistance or other desired properties for use in a heat exchanger wherein Audett et al and Prejean et al both teach that the water-curable ethylene terpolymer hot melt adhesive comprising an organosilicone functional group as instantly claimed provides advantages over other adhesives including curing at ambient temperatures with moisture or water and also provides excellent heat resistance and enhanced adhesive strength to metallic substrates. Hence, though the secondary references do not specifically teach utilizing the adhesive to adhere a polypropylene film to a heat exchanger, the secondary references do provide motivation to utilize the adhesive materials with metallic substrate given that the adhesives provide enhanced adhesive strength to metallic substrates and also provide excellent heat resistance. Therefore, as previously stated, given the teachings of Bentley regarding a polypropylene film adhesively adhered to a metal substrate of the heat exchanger, the Examiner maintains that one skilled in the art would have been motivated to utilize the adhesives taught by the secondary references given the disclosed adhesive characteristics with regards to metallic substrates and heat resistance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 571-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,554

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson

Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1700

March 29, 2004